1	IN THE UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT		
2	FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS		
3	HOUSTON DIVISION		
4	IN RE: S CASE NO. 22-90273-11 S HOUSTON, TEXAS		
5	COMPUTE NORTH HOLDINGS, INC., § AND CN MINING, LLC, § MONDAY,		
6	S OCTOBER 24, 2022 DEBTORS. § 1:29 P.M. TO 2:01 P.M.		
7			
8	MOTIONS HEARING (VIA ZOOM)		
9	BEFORE THE HONORABLE MARVIN ISGUR UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY JUDGE		
10			
11			
12	APPEARANCES: (SEE NEXT PAGE)		
13	CASE MANAGER: TYLER LAWS		
14			
15			
16			
17	(Recorded via CourtSpeak; no logs notes.)		
18			
19			
20	TRANSCRIPTION SERVICE BY:		
21	JUDICIAL TRANSCRIBERS OF TEXAS, LLC		
22	935 Eldridge Road, #144 Sugar Land, TX 77478		
23	281-277-5325 www.judicialtranscribers.com		
24			
25	Proceedings recorded by electronic sound recording; transcript produced by transcription service.		

1	<u>APPEARANCES</u> :	
2		
3	FOR THE DEBTORS:	PAUL HASTINGS, LLP
4		James T. Grogan, III, Esq. 600 Travis Street 58th Floor
5		Houston, Texas 77002 713-860-7300
6		
7	APPEARIN	G (VIA ZOOM):
8		
9	FOR OFFICIAL COMMITTEE OF UNSECURED CREDITORS:	MCDERMOTT WILL & EMERY, LLP
10	OF UNDECKED CREDITORS.	Charles R. Gibbs, Esq. 2501 N Harwood Street
11		Suite 1900 Dallas, Texas 75201
12		214-295-8063
13		MCDERMOTT WILL & EMERY, LLP Kristin K. Going, Esq.
14		One Vanderbilt Ave. New York, New York 10017
15		212-547-5430
16		
17	FOR THE US TRUSTEE:	US TRUSTEE'S OFFICE Jayson B. Ruff, Esq.
18		515 Rusk Street Suite 3516
19		Houston, Texas 77002 713-718-4650
20		113-110-4030
21	FOR THE DEBTORS:	PAUL HASTINGS, LLP
22		Matthew Micheli, Esq. 71 South Wacker Drive Suite 4500
23		Chicago, Illinois 60606 312-499-6018
24		312-499-0U18
25		

APPEARING (VIA ZOOM): FOR GENERATE LENDING: KIRKLAND & ELLIS, LLP Christopher Marcus, Esq. 601 Lexington Avenue New York, New York 10022 212-446-4878 FOR JEFFERIES: SIDLEY AUSTIN, LLP Ryan Fink, Esq. One South Dearborn Chicago, Illinois 60603 312-853-7000 (Please also see Electronic Appearances.)

1 HOUSTON, TEXAS; MONDAY, OCTOBER 24, 2022; 1:29 P.M. 2 THE COURT: All right. Good afternoon. We are 3 here in the Compute North Holdings case. It is 22-90273, 4 and we only have one participant, Mr. Grogan, here in the 5 courtroom. We'll start with him. If anyone wishes to speak at today's hearing, it 6 7 is remote, would you please press five star one time on your 8 phone? 9 Mr. Grogan, good afternoon. 10 MR. GROGAN: Good afternoon, Your Honor. Grogan from Paul Hastings, here as proposed counsel to 11 Compute North and its Debtor affiliates. 12 13 THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Grogan. Mr. Marcus, good afternoon. 14 15 MR. MARCUS: Good afternoon, Your Honor. 16 Christopher Marcus from Kirkland & Ellis on behalf of 17 Generate. 18 THE COURT: Good afternoon. 19 Mr. Micheli? 20 MR. MICHELI: Good afternoon, Your Honor. Matt Micheli on behalf of the Debtors. 21 22 THE COURT: Good afternoon. 23 Mr. Ruff? 24 MR. RUFF: Good afternoon, Your Honor. Jayson

Ruff from the US Trustee's Office.

THE COURT: Good afternoon. 1 Mr. Gibbs? 2 3 MR. GIBBS: Good afternoon, Your Honor. 4 Gibbs with McDermott Will & Emery, proposed counsel for the 5 Committee. With me is my partner, Kristin Going. 6 THE COURT: Thank you. 7 Anyone else that wishes to participate, I believe 8 has already done an electronic appearance, which is fine. 9 If there is anyone else that wishes to be authorized to 10 speak right now, you will still need to press five star, 11 although you can do that if something comes up that you need to talk about in a minute. 12 13 (Pause in the proceedings.) 14 THE COURT: All right. Mr. Grogan, it's a boring 15 Agenda. Why don't you go ahead? MR. GROGAN: So actually I was going to suggest 16 17 that it may not be quite as boring as it was originally 18 because literally three minutes before the hearing started, we did file a Stipulation and Agreed Order, which I'd like 19 to visit at the end of this hearing. 20 21 THE COURT: I haven't seen -- I have not seen 22 whatever that is. 23 MR. GROGAN: And I understand the Court has not 24 read it, but it will be a good -- you know, it's a good

development. It has to do with, you know, facilitating our

sale process and in particular how it relates to the divestiture of the Generate Silo and it's an agreement between us and Generate over funding on a go-forward basis.

But we'll cover that at the end.

Mr. Micheli is going to handle the more mundane second day matters, including the retention applications and interim compensation.

Thank you, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Thank you.

Mr. Micheli?

MR. MICHELI: Thank you, Your Honor. Again, Matt Micheli, Paul Hastings, on behalf of the Debtors.

We did file an Amended Agenda earlier today and I'll note that there's been one change since we filed that Agenda, which I'll cover when we get there, but I'd like to proceed in accordance with the Agenda, if that pleases the Court?

THE COURT: Of course.

MR. MICHELI: So the first matter up today is the continued Cash Management Motion that was originally filed at Docket No. 19 on the First day in these cases. An Interim Order was also entered, and appeared at Docket No. 84.

And then, Your Honor, we did yesterday submit an Amended Form of Interim Order, which appears at Docket

No. 232. There are some changes in that Order that are based upon comments that we received from the Debtors' main banking partner, BMO. We were able to make those changes and accommodate BMO's issues, which again is reflected in Docket No. 232 and then the redlines associated with it.

The US Trustee was given an opportunity to review and then signed off on those changes as well.

I'm happy to walk the Court through those changes and explain what was there, if that would be helpful?

THE COURT: I'm going to leave it up to you what you want to do. I was able to read stuff this morning before I came out, but it's up to you. You can present this if you think you need to.

MR. MICHELI: I think, Your Honor, if you've been handed -- if you've had the chance to read it, I think that we would request that the Order be entered with the changes from -- the changes requested by BMO.

THE COURT: All right. Let me hear from anyone that has any objections to the Order that was filed at ECF 232.

(No audible response.)

THE COURT: Do you want to put on any evidence in support of any of these, or do you want to just rely on your First Day pleadings? How are you planning to do that,
Mr. Micheli?

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

```
MR. MICHELI: Your Honor, we would propose to rely
on the First Day pleadings and the First Day Declaration
that was supported -- excuse me, that supported our First
Day pleadings with the Declaration of Mr. Harold Coulby, who
is in the courtroom today and we could -- we would submit
that in support of this Cash Management Motion.
          THE COURT: Anyone objecting to the admission of
Mr. Coulby's Declaration for all purposes for today's
hearing only?
     (No audible response.)
          THE COURT: All right. It is admitted. I'm going
to sign this Order -- I'm sorry, go ahead.
          MR. GIBBS: Your Honor?
          THE COURT: Yes, go ahead, please.
          MR. GIBBS: This is Chuck Gibbs for the Committee.
          We have no objection to this Declaration for being
used for all the motions that were set. To the extent it's
being used for a Stipulation that Mr. Grogan mentioned, I'm
not sure I'm in a position to consent to that.
          THE COURT: Yeah, I'm just going to take it then,
given your clarification, as evidentiary support for the
matters that were on today's Agenda only. And then if
something else comes up, it can be reoffered for that
purpose.
          Will that work for you?
```

```
MR. GIBBS: Absolutely, Judge. Thank you.
 1
 2
              THE COURT: Thank you.
 3
              All right. I have signed 232. Let me get it to
 4
    docketing.
 5
         (Pause in the proceedings.)
              THE COURT: 232 has been sent to docketing.
 6
 7
              Where do you want to go next?
 8
              MR. MICHELI: Thank you, Your Honor.
 9
              The next item on the Agenda is Debtors'
10
    application to retain certain professionals in the ordinary
11
    course of business. This motion appeared originally at
    Docket No. 121. We did receive some comments from the US
12
    Trustee, which we incorporated into a revised Form of Order
13
    that was filed yesterday at Docket No. 233.
14
15
              Those comments were certain clarifying procedural
    comments to address some issues raised by the US Trustee.
16
17
    The Debtors were planning on incorporating those.
18
   material issues were providing some additional time for
   parties to object from 10 to 14 days and also including some
19
    case caps with respect to the professionals. So we have two
20
    tiers of professionals with respect to this pleading: Tier
21
22
    One OCP, which is estimated to be about $75,000 a month and
23
    a Tier Two OCP, which is estimated to be $25,000 a month.
24
              The US Trustee asked that we incorporate a case
25
    cap for Tier One ordinary course professionals at $950,000
```

and Tier Two at \$350,000.

These changes were acceptable, Your Honor, and are reflected in the revised Form of Order that appears at Docket No. 233.

THE COURT: Do we have any objection to the issuance of 233?

(No audible response.)

THE COURT: All right. I've reviewed 233. This is in support of a motion that was filed at First Day. No objections have been filed. It's supported by the Declarations.

I've signed the Order. 233 will be sent to docketing.

What you got next?

MR. MICHELI: Thank you, Your Honor.

The next pleading is the Debtors' motion for interim compensation procedures for professionals. We did receive -- we filed a motion, which appeared at Docket No. 122. We did receive comments from the United States Trustee and from the Official Committee. And those changes were incorporated into a revised Form of Order that we filed yesterday at Docket No. 234.

The changes were some clarifying language giving all parties an opportunity to object, not just the notice parties, and clarifying the procedures' compliance with the

complex case procedures and specifying certain dates by 1 which interim fee applications can be filed. 2 3 THE COURT: All right. Are there any outstanding 4 objections again to granting this First Day Motion to which 5 no objection has been filed? 6 (No audible response.) 7 THE COURT: All right. Based on the Declaration 8 that we've already admitted, I have signed the Order without 9 objection. 234 --10 MR. MICHELI: Thank you, Your Honor. THE COURT: -- we'll send that to docketing. 11 12 MR. MICHELI: Thank you. 13 Your Honor, the next three items up are the retention applications for Portage Point, Paul Hastings, and 14 15 Jefferies. We'll start with --16 THE COURT: Yeah, let me interrupt -- let me 17 interrupt you just for a minute to tell my staff that for 18 whatever reason, I'm sending this to Work Group, rather than directly to docketing. So you'll find it over in Work 19 20 Group. 21 Sorry, just wanted to be sure they could find it. 22 Okay. Go ahead, next? 23 MR. MICHELI: Yes, the next item is the retention 24 application for Portage Point Partners. The application

appears at Docket No. 123. Portage Point is where the

```
Debtors are requesting that Portage Point be retained as a
 1
    financial advisor to the Debtors in these cases.
 2
 3
    receive some informal comments from the Office of the United
 4
    States Trustee. I think it was one comment, which was
 5
    incorporated into the Form of Order that we filed yesterday,
 6
    which appears at Docket No. 235.
 7
              And with that change, Your Honor, we request that
 8
    the application be granted.
              THE COURT: Any objection to 235, the retention of
 9
10
    Portage?
11
         (No audible response.)
12
              THE COURT: All right. There is no objection.
    Debtor is going to authorize Portage to be -- the Court will
13
    authorize the Debtor to retain Portage, excuse me.
14
15
              I've signed the Order.
              MR. MICHELI: Thank you, Your Honor.
16
17
              THE COURT: Let me just see if that's going to
18
    work. And I've sent that directly to docketing. Okay?
19
              And then we have your firm, for which I don't
20
    think any amendment was filed, right? It's the original?
21
              MR. MICHELI: We did not. That is correct. It's
22
    Exhibit 124-1 of the Order. We request that that be
23
    approved, Your Honor.
24
              THE COURT: All right. Any objection to the
25
    retention of Paul Hastings under the Form of Order
```

```
originally filed with the motion?
1
2
         (No audible response.)
 3
              THE COURT: I've signed that.
 4
              MR. MICHELI: Thank you, Your Honor.
 5
              Your Honor, the next is the application to retain
 6
    Jefferies as investment banker for the Debtors.
7
              Your Honor, we did receive some comments from the
8
    Committee with respect to this and as you'll recall, there
 9
    was an objection that was lodged by Marathon with respect to
10
    the bid procedures. That issue was resolved and Marathon
11
    filed a statement of no objection, which appears at Docket
   No. 192.
12
13
              However, to clarify that there were no issues
   associated with that objection, and in discussions with the
14
15
    Office of the United States Trustee, Jefferies did file a
16
    supplemental Declaration of Jeffrey Finger, which appears at
17
    Docket No. 196. And with the filing of that, Your Honor,
18
    that resolved any and all issues the US Trustee may have had
19
    with respect to Jefferies retention.
20
              I would offer, Your Honor, Mr. Finger's
    Declaration in support of Jefferies application today, which
21
22
    again appears at Docket No. 196.
23
              THE COURT: So I read 196 and if we hadn't had the
24
    earlier matter filed, which I know is now being withdrawn,
2.5
    this wouldn't be here. But can someone just tell me
```

directly what relationship, if ever, Jefferies had with respect to the now withdrawn quasi-objection and the Debtor?

In other words, was any work done for that entity

4 | at all or no work at all done for them?

MR. MICHELI: My understanding, Your Honor, and I believe Jefferies' outside counsel is also appearing today, too, so they can step in and correct me.

My understanding is Jefferies acted as lead forerunner to -- as part of an issuing of convertible notes in November of 2021 with respect to Marathon. That work was unrelated to the Debtors and again, we don't believe that there are any conflict issues with respect to it. It is a connection that was disclosed in Jefferies original (glitch in the audio) and we believe that with those disclosures, Your Honor, and in addition, I believe it represented a de minimis amount of revenue for Jefferies within that year, the Debtors and Jefferies and I believe that the US Trustee can speak up that there were no concerns or issues with respect to the retention of Jefferies, given the items set forth in the Supplemental Declaration.

THE COURT: So I have someone from area code 949-946-7765, can someone tell me who that is?

MR. FINK: Good afternoon, Your Honor. This is Ryan Fink from Sidley on behalf of Jefferies. I'm just making myself available to the extent I can answer

questions, but nothing to add to already discussed in the Supplemental Declaration.

THE COURT: So the Supplemental Declaration, as I appreciate it, says that they did work to the other entity, but that that work was unrelated to Compute North, but their objection had originally characterized it as work having to do with Compute North. And they've withdrawn that, so maybe that's nothing, but I just would like somebody affirmatively to tell me that they did not do any work with respect to the relationship between those two entities.

How do I get that into the evidentiary record?

MR. MICHELI: Your Honor, I will point out and

maybe this isn't sufficient and we can think of another way

to handle it, but in the Supplemental Declaration,

paragraph 4, Mr. Finger notes that the offering was

completely unrelated to the Debtors and these Chapter 11

cases.

THE COURT: Right, which I very much appreciate.

What I'm not -- what I don't actually have a

direct statement on, is did Jefferies ever do any work with

respect to Marathon and the Debtor on that work?

Does that question make sense?

MR. MICHELI: Meaning did Marathon -- sorry, did

Jefferies represent Marathon in its role as lead forerunner,

did it ever do any work with the Debtors in connection with

that engagement?

THE COURT: No. Did Jefferies ever do any work for Marathon that involved Compute North?

Because I thought its work as lead runner, didn't involve Compute North at all. They just did work for Marathon. That doesn't bother me.

But what I'm looking for is an affirmative statement as to whether Jefferies ever did any work for Marathon involving Compute North?

That we have somebody --

MR. FINK: Your Honor, this is Ryan Fink from Sidley Austin on behalf of Jefferies again.

The statement that the Debtors' counsel mentioned, the offering was unrelated. That is my understanding the only work that Jefferies did on behalf of Marathon, so to the extent that you would like an affirmative statement clarifying that point that there was no other work, I'm sure Jefferies could provide that point.

THE COURT: So we have somebody on the phone from 212-708-2733, is that -- who do we have there?

MR. FINGER: Yes, Your Honor. It's Jeffrey Finger of Jefferies.

THE COURT: Mr. Finger, I do want to just tie this up with a bow. So would you go ahead and raise your right hand. I don't think you're on the video, but let me see.

```
1
              MR. FINGER: I believe I am.
2
              THE COURT: There you are. I apologize. It just
 3
    took me a minute to find you.
 4
              Do you swear to tell the truth, the whole truth
 5
    and nothing but the truth?
              MR. FINGER: Yes. I do.
 6
7
         (Mr. Finger sworn.)
8
              THE COURT: Thank you.
 9
              Mr. Finger, did Jefferies ever do any work for
10
   Marathon that involved Compute North?
11
              MR. FINGER: My understanding, Your Honor, is no.
12
   It would not have been my team directly, but my
13
   understanding is no. Aside from the convert offering that
   we lead, there were no other retentions with them or any
14
   business with them and certainly not with respect to Compute
15
16
   North.
17
              THE COURT: And with respect to the due diligence
18
    that you did in order to make those statements in your
19
    Declaration, I understand you can't have literal personal
20
   knowledge of everybody within the whole Jefferies
    organization. In that work, you did not run across anything
21
22
    that would implicate work done by Jefferies for Marathon
23
    involving Compute North.
24
              Is that accurate?
25
              MR. FINGER: That's correct.
```

THE COURT: Okay. Does anyone else have any issues here at all? Because I just -- I think I've beaten to death the question that I wanted to be sure was the right answer. I think it is, but maybe I should ask Mr. Ruff to be sure he's in good shape, as well.

Mr. Ruff, any issues here at all?

MR. RUFF: Your Honor, thank you again. Jason Ruff for the US Trustee's Office.

We actually spoke to Jefferies and asked similar questions last week or perhaps even before now, prior to the updated and revised Declaration being filed. And we were satisfied that anything that they did was not -- did not bear on the relation of these two parties, the Debtors and Marathon, and it was fully separate.

I think from what I'm hearing we're on the same thing today, so with that, you know, unless something changes and you know, we're satisfied, Your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. And I think Marathon has withdrawn and they may not have used those words at all. I was left with that impression by Marathon and perhaps it was my error in reading it, but they've even withdrawn the allegations. I just wanted to be sure we don't have anything here.

So Mr. Micheli, I'm going to end up approving this. I do need you to take me through the changes,

however, that got us to 245 because that is something that I had not seen before I got out here.

Is there a redline between 245 and the other one, or do you want to just talk me through it? What do you want to do?

MR. MICHELI: There is, there is a redline between 245 and 236. They were -- apologies for the confusion.

Those were -- I'll call "versioning errors" in the actual redline itself.

So if you look at 245-3, that is the interim redline between 236 and 245, which will show the two changes in paragraphs 8(b) and 8(d).

Your Honor, these were -- this was language that was actually incorporated in the Form of Order that was attached to the motion. In the process of negotiating the changes, the prior version of the Order was used and these changes weren't picked up. We noticed it after it was filed yesterday and corrected that at 245.

THE COURT: Got it. So it's just those two changes?

MR. MICHELI: That's correct.

And then 245-2 is the cumulative redline and I can walk you through -- if you have not had a chance to review,
I can walk you through the changes that set forth the settlement between the Debtors, Jefferies, and the Committee

with respect to the issues that were raised by the Committee, which appear in paragraph 2 of the Order.

THE COURT: Well, I'm happy to have you do that, if you want to. But I have read that already because that had been filed in enough time for me to get it read. I just hadn't read the 245, so whatever you want to do on that.

MR. MICHELI: Thank you. Unless you have any questions, Your Honor, you know, this -- we believe this resolves all issues with respect to Jefferies' retention and we would request that the Order as revised at Docket No. 245 be entered.

THE COURT: Let me ask if there's any party that has any objection to the retention of Jefferies and utilizing the Form of Order that is 245?

MR. GIBBS: Your Honor, this is Chuck Gibbs for the Committee.

THE COURT: Mr. Gibbs.

MR. GIBBS: We have no objection to the -- yes, we have no objection to the entry of the Order as revised. I just wanted the Court to know that since we got involved, we have negotiated with the Debtor and with Jefferies directly to try to accomplish some changes in their proposed terms of retention. We are satisfied with the changes that were agreed to, that are reflected in the Revised Order that you have before you.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

```
And just frankly want to offer our appreciation
and thanks to Jefferies for cooperatively working with us to
try to get to a fee structure that we thought was reflective
of the case condition and also the result of which I think
significant savings will inure to the benefit of the estate.
          That's all.
          THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Gibbs.
          Anyone else?
     (No audible response.)
          THE COURT: All right. The Order has been signed
and it's been sent to docketing.
          Where to now?
          MR. MICHELI: Thank you, Your Honor.
          That takes us through the motions that were
included on the Agenda. I'll turn the podium back over to
Mr. Grogan to discuss the Stipulation that was filed prior
to the hearing.
          Thank you, Your Honor.
          THE COURT: The one I haven't seen, right,
Mr. Grogan?
          MR. GROGAN: Yes, Your Honor. James Grogan, Paul
Hastings, counsel to the Debtors.
          Your Honor, I'm happy to do this in the most
efficient way for the Court. I don't know if you want to
take a brief recess and read it. It was filed at Docket
```

No. 246.

I will say it's a relatively short Stipulation, you know, just to --

THE COURT: Are you asking me to act on it in a short time frame or what?

MR. GROGAN: We -- yes, Your Honor. There's a few components that are important to the process. First of all, the -- you know, this relates to the Kerniam (phonetic) Wolf Hollow projects, which have been operating at a loss at the Debtor level for some time.

And this solves that cash burn problem. So we would get -- we would get an immediate infusion of -- on the next day, we would get \$710,000 to continue funding the operations, plus there's an additional up to \$650,000 into November, which would allow us, you know, the money we need to continue operating that as a going concern.

At the same time, this dovetails with a planned sale of those assets to Generate, which we will be under the gun to negotiate and finalize over the next three days to prepare an APA that we would then present on a separate motion and timeline.

But it solves a lot of problems for us from a liquidity perspective. We have an agreement in principle on the purchase price, which also will infuse the estate with some much needed liquidity and so we do need this issue

addressed in the near term.

THE COURT: What is your latest date on which I can hear this and not have any adverse consequences to the estate by the delay? Is it one day from now? Is it five days from now? I mean, you just need to tell me how long and I happen to have a really light schedule for the next couple of weeks, so I should be able to fit you in pretty much any time.

MR. GROGAN: You know, if -- I think if we could come back, you know, tomorrow morning? I'll -- you know, Mr. Marcus is on for Generate, too, but you know, we don't want to put this off very long at all because we do need to address the schedule that's proposed in there and also, we need the money.

THE COURT: So Mr. Gibbs, I haven't read this. I don't know what it is. How long do you need to be prepared to support or oppose the relief?

MR. GIBBS: I think if you hear this tomorrow, we would be ready to take a position. We've got a prior draft -- I've lost track of time -- either late yesterday or early this morning. We suggested some comments. I have no idea if any of those were incorporated in what was filed and we certainly haven't had a chance to look at it, other than during this hearing. And I don't know the basis for the change in the monetary figures that are in the Stipulation

from the one we saw.

But that's not going to be a heavy lift for us to get knowledgeable enough to be in a position to tell Your Honor on the Record where we are, and I'll remind the Court just last Friday we were imploring the Debtor to continue to look under rocks to try to find additional interim liquidity. This we know conceptually does that. We just need to get a little better understanding of how they arrived at certain numbers and how they dovetail with the possible sale of the assets that are currently being managed by the Debtors.

So if Your Honor has time tomorrow, we can certainly accommodate the parties and make ourselves ready.

THE COURT: What time can you be ready?

MR. GIBBS: The afternoon would be better, Your

Honor. I can do -- we can do in the morning, if that's

better for the Court. I'm just sort of -- I have a problem

I can't move between 11:00 and 3:00. So either before 11:00

or after 3:00 would -- I would be able to --

THE COURT: I have zero hearings tomorrow. I have a lot of stuff I'm doing, but it won't matter when I take a break in terms of what I'm doing. You tell me what works.

The Committee is the one that needs time, not the Debtor, although the Debtor needs speed and you're offering them speed. Tell me what you need, what time?

```
MR. GIBBS: 3:00 o'clock would be fine.
 1
 2
              THE COURT: Is there anyone that objects to having
 3
    a hearing on whatever is contained in the Stipulation that
 4
    just got filed? I don't even know what it is, but you-all
 5
    do. Anyone that objects to have a hearing on that tomorrow
 6
    at 3:00.
 7
              And would you confirm 3:00 o'clock actually is
 8
    sufficient time for you to avoid your emergency?
 9
              MR. GROGAN: Your Honor, we can live with
    3:00 o'clock tomorrow.
10
11
              THE COURT: Okay. So we're talking about 246.
12
    Anyone have any objection to hearing 246 tomorrow at
13
    3:00 o'clock?
14
         (No audible response.)
15
              THE COURT: All right. It is set now for --
              MR. GIBBS: Your Honor? Your Honor?
16
17
              THE COURT: Mr. Gibbs.
18
              MR. GIBBS: Your Honor, I apologize. I was
    reading my calendar as I was trying to respond.
19
20
              I finish a presentation at 3:00. Is there any way
    we can start at 3:15, just so I don't have to walk off the
21
22
    stage?
23
              THE COURT: 3:30, how's that? That way you won't
   have to walk off the stage without greeting people.
24
25
              Does anyone object to --
```

```
1
              MR. GIBBS: It won't be accompanied by a mic drop.
 2
              THE COURT: -- to a 3:30 hearing tomorrow on 246?
 3
         (No audible response.)
 4
              THE COURT: Okay. It's set for tomorrow at 3:30.
 5
              What else do we need to do today?
 6
              MR. GROGAN: Nothing on my list, Your Honor.
7
    Thank you very much for the flexibility.
8
              MR. MICHELI: Your Honor?
 9
              THE COURT: Yes, sir.
10
              MR. MICHELI: Your Honor, Matt Micheli.
11
              I did want to note in the flurry of filings that
12
   happened between yesterday and today, we did put a revised
13
    Form of Sale Procedures Order with the agreement of all of
    the relevant parties at Docket No. 242. Despite my optimism
14
15
    on Friday, it took a little longer than anticipated, but we
   were able to get that revised Form of Order at 242 and I
16
17
   wanted to mention that.
18
              THE COURT: All right. I'll just ask -- well,
   here, I can do that.
19
20
         (Pause in the proceedings.)
              THE COURT: I should actually be able to get to
21
22
    that before I go home tonight, so I would guess it will get
23
   docketed if not this afternoon, overnight assuming that I
24
    don't have any issues with it.
25
              I have moved that into my In Box, so I'll be able
```

```
1
   to do it right away.
2
              What else --
 3
              MR. MICHELI: Thank you, Your Honor.
 4
              THE COURT: What else do we need to do? Anyone
 5
    else have anything?
         (No audible response.)
 6
7
              THE COURT: Okay. Thank you-all. I appreciate
8
   all the deals that got made and the easy Agenda.
9
              Thank you.
10
         (The parties thank the Court.)
11
              THE COURT: Okay. We are in recess for the
12
   afternoon. Thank you.
13
         (Hearing adjourned at 2:01 p.m.)
14
15
               I certify that the foregoing is a correct
    transcript to the best of my ability due to the condition of
16
17
    the electronic sound recording of the ZOOM/video/telephonic
18
   proceedings in the above-entitled matter.
19
    /S/ MARY D. HENRY
20
    CERTIFIED BY THE AMERICAN ASSOCIATION OF
21
    ELECTRONIC REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS, CET**337
22
    JUDICIAL TRANSCRIBERS OF TEXAS, LLC
23
    JTT TRANSCRIPT #66471
24
    DATE FILED: OCTOBER 28, 2022
25
```